

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,966	02/28/2002	Gerald D. Eckstein	8266-0823	3797
7:	590 05/06/2003			
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP Intellectual Property Group 2700 First Indiana Plaza			EXAMINER	
			KEASEL, ERIC S	
135 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
maianapons, n	1 40204		3754	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	12_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A. C.	Application No	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/085,966	ECKSTEIN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric Keasel	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a)months from the mailir	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	·				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	c(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 21-27 and 40-44.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 45-54.						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	7/					
		Dayl & Winner				
EK 4may03	•	Paul J. Hirsoh tinary Examiner				

•

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the change of scope to independent claims 21 and 40 would require further consideration as to art and/or new matter rejections.

Fallay H. Hirsch